Risk assessment report for PEP consultation

1. PURPOSE/BACKGROUND

The purpose of the project is to collate feedback from businesses, residents and visitors to the borough on the proposed Parking and Enforcement Plan (PEP).

Last year the council consulted on the proposed Parking and Enforcement Plan 2022-27 over a 13 week period between 16 August and 15 November 2021.

The public consultation took place during this period which allowed the public an opportunity to provide their feedback on the draft PEP. An additional three week period from 15 June to 6 July 2022 was also allocated. However, the exercise was limited to feedback about the revised permit prices only. This allowed us to consider all feedback we received on this proposal before making any recommendations.

The PEP also proposed a greater focus on air quality and sustainability.

2. REPORT SCOPE

The scope of the risk analysis report is to calculate and present the cost and schedule contingencies at an appropriate level.

2.2 Project scope

- Carry out a 13-week consultation with Hackney Council residents, business owners and visitors.
- Carry out a 3-week consultation with Hackney Council residents, business owners.

3. RISK ANALYSIS RESULTS

A number of risks were identified throughout the PEP project which took place for a combined total period of 16 weeks.

3.1 Risk and issue log

A log of risks and issues were created and a number of risks and issues were identified throughout the project which were mitigated accordingly. Please see appendix one.

A summary of the main risks identified are as follows:

- Several proposals within the PEP which could be deemed controversial such as emission- based charging, estate permits, and visitor vouchers, and could create buzz in media, social media and interest groups.
- Print schedules delayed due to problems relating to COVID-19 restrictions
- Design schedules delayed due to problems relating to COVID-19 restrictions
- Launching with a letter/leaflet to permit holders that use post instead of booklet/questionnaire may not be seen as enough
- Risk of two-week lockdown around October half-term.
- Risk of physical threat from the public
- Risk of staff or public contracting COVID-19 from face to face event

All the risks mentioned above had an impact on the time taken to complete the project and the cost of the project.

3.3 Schedule risk analysis

Online stakeholder engagement methods were found to have been extremely useful and effective in the face of government restrictions.

Due to the risk of sudden and further changes to government guidelines on social gatherings, It was also decided to make use of online drop-in sessions for nearly all activities regardless of the legal limits on numbers applied to social gatherings having been lifted on 19 July 2021.

An online drop-in session was conducted on 29 June 2022 as part of the additional three week period allocated to stakeholders to give their views on proposed changes to parking permit prices and the emission based charging structure.

4. SUMMARY

Overall, the project was a success and although the lockdown measures posed a threat, neither it nor the other risks and issues identified had an adverse effect on the project.

APPENDIX 1

GENERAL RISK LOG

ID	Date raised	R ai se d by	Area	Risk type	Risk descripti on	Risk owner (s)	Proba bility of risk	Impact if the risk occur s	impact and	Stage of consul tation likely to occur	Chosen response	Residual risk (severity after mitigatio n)	Risk checke d by spons or?
1	01/03/21	ES	Consulta tion engage ment	perform ance	Technical failure in online engageme nt sessions	SS/ES	41-60 %	3	Moderate risk	During consult ation period	Having 2 hosts that can control the meeting so if one has technical issues the other can take over	Low risk	No
2	01/03/21	ES	Political	schedul e	Lead councillor changing the policy in the parking and enforceme nt plan requiring rewrites of the policy	SS/ES	41-60 %	5	High risk	Pre consult ation period	Having contingenc y within the schedule for review and updates. Making sure that deadlines are made clear and that as much time as possible is given.	High risk	No
3	01/03/21	ES	Consulta tion engage ment	perform ance	Lack of uptake in the engageme nt sessions	SS/ES	41-60 %	3	Moderate risk	During consulta tion period	All communica tion streams utilised to promote sessions.	Low risk	No

											Consolidati ng the sessions if uptake is too low. Separate sessions with estate residents and healthcare workers.		
4	01/03/21	ES	External	schedul e/perfor mance	Natural disaster/ emergenc y in London - prevents access to the questionn aire	SS/ES	1-20%	5	Moderate risk	Through	Tolerate risk as it is out of our control. Alternative timelines have been assessed.	Moderate risk	No
5	01/03/21	ES	External	schedul e/perfor mance	Pandemic - prevents access to the questionn aire	SS/ES	1-20%	5		Through out	Tolerate risk as it is out of our control. Alternative timelines have been assessed.	Moderate risk	No
6	01/03/21	ES	Staff	Schedu le	Lack of staff due to holidays or illness	SS/ES	41-60 %	3	Moderate risk	Through	Check staff and team members availability in advance of scheduling. Seek resources from other department s if required.	Low risk	No

			Political/ manage	Schedu	Sign off period delaying project progress/		41-60			Pre consulta tion	Make sponsor and senior manageme nt team aware of timelines and give deadlines for review where		
	01/03/21			le Perform	start date Security - nefarious sources getting access to consultatio	SS/ES	%	5	Moderate	period Through	possible. Tolerate risk as it is out of our control. Usual BAU security is	High risk	No
8	01/03/21	ES	I.T	ance	n data	SS/ES	1-20%	5	risk	out	in place.	risk	No

ISSUE REGISTER LOG

ID	Date raised	Raise d by	lssue descriptio n	Issue owner	Describe impact of issue	Response options	Esca lated to spon sor Y/N?	Response decision	Timeline of issue to end (date of any updates)	lssue end date and initials
1	01/03/21	SS	Ongoing government restrictions from COVID-19	SS	Restrictions on social gatherings, most shops and public venues closed. Social distancing measures in place. Working from home for the time being. Public engagement sessions should be virtual	Monitor and adapt project to adhere to government restrictions Plan to hold engagemen t online work from home	Y	Monitor and adapt project to adhere to government restrictions Plan to hold engagement online work from home	05/01/21 - 07/03/21 National lockdown 08/03/21- Stage 1 lifting of restrictions. Schools reopen. You can meet one other person outside	
2	23/04/21	SS	Contingency needs to be	МС	Budget and campaign	Budget and campaign	Y	To update budget and	23/04/21 - MC has made updates	23/04/21 - SS

			increased		brief are not correct. Could lead to shortage if costs overrun Schedule and	brief needs to be updated with new overall cost including 20% contingency		campaign brief		
3	27/04/21	SS	Consultation start date put back to July	SS/ES	consult. Overall project duration will now likely end after the original planned end date of December	Update all plans and schedules. Make the team aware of change. Get confirmation from the sponsor that they are happy to proceed with the known impacts to the project outcomes due to this change.	Υ	To update all project plans with the new consultation dates	28/04/21 - GA has confirmed that we will aim to launch in July 28/04/21 - ES/SS to update all plans by 30/04/21	30/04/21 - SS
4	25/06/21	SS	Consultation start date put back to 16th August 2021.	SS/ES	Schedule and plans need to be updated. Engagement sessions will need to be rescheduled. Overall project duration will now end in spring 2022.		Y	To update all project plans and go ahead with the new consultation dates	25/06/21 - GA has confirmed the new consultation launch date of August 16th 2021 25/06/21 - Engagement plans have been updated with the new session dates	16/08/21 - SS

5	08/09/21	SS	Email complaints received from some members of estate groups that were unhappy with the notice and timings of the planned engagement sessions	SS/ES	Complaints could be escalated which could result in a review	Respond to complainant s. Offer other engagemen t options and add additional face to face engagemen t sessions in the evening.	Y		07/09/21 - Email received through Sara Kulay (head of resident participation and TMOs)	
6	5/11/21	SS	Printed consultation questionnair es and estate inserts have a typo. This has been given to around 200 people. 40 responses have been received back. No issues have been identified by the public.	SS/ES	Possible that the questionnaire answers were unclear to those responding		Y	Spoke with MJ and impacts on the consultation discussed. It was decided to change the documents on citizen space and use the amended version to send out to any future requesters. The online version of the consultation documents were not affected by this typo and so this is not thought to have a major impact on responses.	5/11/21 - Discussed with MJ 10/11/21 - Response agreed with GA	15/11/21- SS

The end